



Bologna Process Stocktaking

Conclusions and Recommendations

Prof. Andrejs Rauhvargers, Chair of Bologna Stocktaking WG





Degree structure

Full implementations of 3 cycles seems to be mainly a question of time

Issues:

- Certain regulated professions are not included everywhere
- Master's degrees level not clear across the EHEA (EUA finding)
- Access to the next cycle may include additional measures
- Third cycle: need to provide transferable skills is not fully understood, status of doctoral candidates varies

Can be completed in relatively near future





Employability

- Countries still don't have sufficient data,
- Bachelor acceptance and employability
- Too little involvement of employers: especially in QA and governance

Time for improvement of situation will vary

Learning outcomes

- Perceived as important priority underpinning several action lines
- Work is going on everywhere,
- Not always fully understood, too much rush
 Culture change is necessary, may take time



ECTS

Use of credits for accumulation and transfer is
 +/- implemented

Issues:

- linkage with learning outcomes :
 - not everywhere,
 - not always properly
- problems to measure workload correctly

May take time for proper implementation





Qualifications frameworks

- Much effort in 2007-2009
- NQF proposal approved 19 countries
- NQF self-certified with the EHEA framework in 6 countries (another 6 close to completion)
- Not enough integration between the NQF, learning outcomes, ECTS and flexible learning paths
- Risks of superficial implementation,
 e.g. starting self-certification too early

Will take time for implementation



Implementation of ESG for QA

External QA

- Is operating in practically all EHEA
- International experts and students are involved in QA but there are gaps
- Evaluation of QA Agencies in 16 countries

Could be completed in near future



Internal QA

+/- in place:

assuring staff quality, internal approval of programmes, QA strategies

More difficult parts:

- linking programs with learning outcomes
- designing assessment procedures to measure achievement of the intended learning outcomes
- the need of change is not always understood

Fully-fledged introduction of outcomes-based quality culture will take more time





Implementation of the Lisbon Recognition Convention

Legislation: in most countries +/- OK **but:**

- Fair & coherent recognition in EHEA is not yet there
- Need to improve procedures is not always understood

Tools for improvement:

- Consistent practices/terminology across EHEA;
- Common understanding of substantial differences
- Checking quality of recognition procedures at quality assessments

Involves change of attitude/culture – will take time





Recognition of prior learning

- In a small group of countries RPL already works well
- Difficulties for some countries in understanding the concept of RPL
- RPL may be included in national policy but not applied

Change of culture needed - may take time

Joint degrees

in ¾ of the countries JDs are now allowed

Global dimension

 many countries promote their own HE systems, though very few focus on promoting the EHEA





- All stakeholders must re-affirm their full commitment to the goals of the EHEA
- Tools created within the Bologna Process must be used to create a true culture of LLL,
- Explicit links should be established between learning outcomes, qualifications frameworks, QA systems and recognition practices.

Recommendations to countries

- Include all fields of study in the Bologna structure,
- promote awareness of the relevance of the degrees for both employment and for access



Recommendations to countries

- Implement a NQF, describe all programmes using learning outcomes,
- Ensure full implementation of all 3 parts of the ESG,
- Develop coherent & transparent recognition practices,
- Make lifelong learning a genuine reality,
- Facilitate recognition of prior learning,
- Promote student mobility within and between cycles, exploiting the potential of the 3-cycle system, using ECTS and increasing the supports for mobile students



Social dimension – analysis of National Reports

- some National Reports contain a careful description of situation, a clear explanation of policy measures and clear strategies for the future,
- other countries provide hardly any information or even stated that they have no under-represented groups
- striking discrepancy between optimistic description in NRs vs. the data provided by Eurostat & Eurostudent
- all countries take actions to enhance participative equity, but only a minority has set up monitoring systems



Social Dimension

- There is a long way to go before the student body will reflect the diversity of our populations,
- Development of flexible learning paths is crucial for both LLL and inclusion,
- There is strong need for evidence-based policy making
- Report of Eurostat and Eurostudent is the first step,
- Ministers should reiterate objectives and commit themselves to collecting and developing sound data on the social dimension and on mobility



Thanks for your attention!

Thanks to the Stocktaking and Social Dimension working groups!