



Danish Agency for Higher Education and Science















RECOGNITION OF SECONDARY SCHOOL DIPLOMAS, CERTIFICATES & STANDARIZED TESTS GIVING ACCESS TO HIGHER EDUCATION IN ASIA & PACIFIC

> GAYANE HARUTYUNYAN October 2, 2024 Tallinn, Estonia



Background

- Recognition of secondary school leaving diplomas, certificates/access qualifications and standardized tests is an important though an overlooked area.
- ➤ The fact that we are moving more than halfway into the UN 2030 Sustainable Development Goals-Education 2030 Agenda.
- > To contribute to the attainment of the SDG 4 including
 - ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all" (SDG4);
 - ensuring equal access to affordable technical, vocational and higher education (SDG 4.3);
 - eliminating all forms of discrimination in education(SDG 4.5); and
 - expanding higher education scholarships for developing countries (SDG 4b).
- With the need to balance expansion of access to HE with equity and quality in the Asia-Pacific region and beyond
- ➤ Growth of cross-border and international HE especially important in the Region where number of mobile students have increased 2.41 times (in 2019 compared with 2000) compared to 1.89 times globally.



Rationale and objectives

- ➤ In 2021 UNESCO's Asia-Pacific Regional Bureau for Education launched a study on the recognition of secondary school leaving diplomas, certificates and standardized tests giving access to HE.
- Armenia, China, Japan, Mongolia, Republic of Korea, New Zealand country case studies were studied- all Parties to the Tokyo Convention/APNNIC member countries.

Objectives:

- Enhancing transparency and information sharing among countries,
- On a comparative basis fostering development of national recognition and higher education admission policies for both domestic and foreign students particularly in transition from secondary to higher education.
- Facilitating implementation of the 2011 Tokyo Recognition Convention and the Global Convention
- Promoting individual's rights to fair, transparent and nondiscriminatory evaluation of qualifications giving access to higher education.

Methodology: A research template was developed for each country to collect information

- Policies and practices in higher education systems,
- HE admission system,
- Variety of school-leaving diplomas and certificates,
- Types of HE entrance examinations and standardized tests,
- Recognition policies and procedures.



Higher education systems

HE in all the participaing countries is following three-cycle degree structure, but there are differences in relation to duration of studies, academic year, types of HEIs, governance, legal frameworks, HE participation rate, etc

First level (bachelor): - Armenia, New Zealand ranges from 3-4 years, China - 4-5 years; Japan, Mongolia and ROK- 4-6 years.

Types of insttituions: Armenia and Mongolia utilize traditional classification: university, institute, college, conservatory, academy

China: regular (university, independent colleges, private iinstitutes) and adult education institutions.

Japan, New Zealand, ROK: more complex categorization with clear distinction between academic, research and vocational.

Governance: Armenia, Mongolia, Japane and ROK- centralised by ministries China- national&provincial levels, NZ- jointly by several bodies(ministry, universities, Tertiary Education Commission, NZ Qualifications Authority).

Legal framework: Japan and NZ have only school education law.

QFs: Exists in Armenia and NZ.



National Systems of Admission

- ➤ Generally, admission systems are regulated by the bylaws established by the ministires while entrance exams are organised and carries by different agencies..
- ARM- Assessment and Testing Center;
- China:- examination authorities in each province and independent regions;
- Japan- National center for university entrance examinations;
- Mongolia and NZ- Education Evaluation Centers;
- ROK –Korea Institute for curriculm and evaluation.
- ➤ NZ no entrance tests, but the authoriy is resposible for university entrance award that is required for access to HE.
- ➤ All countries (except NZ) have developed testing systems as part of the admission requirements. Furthemore, testing systems have gone transformation to address equity& access challenges, enhance their effectiveness.
- ➤ Entrance exams are organised either annually(could be more) and administered by test centers and are covering various subjects: languages, STEM and Humanities. *Transperancy of the process* is clearly manifested by the countries.
- ➤ In China it is possible to enter without exams —through adult education.
- Universities can ask for additional exams.



School Leaving Qualifications

 Diversity of school leaving qualifications- based on national, foreign and international curriculum.

All the participating countries developed national curriculums: identifying subjects, coverage, hours, passing requirements (Japan, Mongolia, NZ, ROK) and even knowledge assessment framework (Armenia and Mongolia).

- Japan- introduced general and speciality related subjects/ not obligatory which highlight level of flexibility in new upper secondary curriculum;
- ARM national curriculum is divided into general, humanitarian, nattural sciences and vocational streams (same type of qualification), and recognised Araratian Baccalaureate, French baccalaureate (issuing their own qulaifications);
- China- issues school leaving qualifications after taking Academic Proficiency Test.

Foreign secondary qualifications are issued in all the countries.



Recognition of Entrance Exams&Standartized Tests

- There are no regulations for recognition, however there seems to be its general understanding of foreign school leaving qualifications and international test including SAT, IB, GCE A-level and ACT.
- Recognition is mainly based on equivalency to national education standards (usually based on years of study).
- Language exams including the foreign language tests is a common practice.
- National Information Centers do not have clear mandate in relation to school leaving qualifications.
 - ArmENIC providing advisory statements which are not binding,
 - China- no body focused on recognition, the agencies are doing verification.
 - Mongolia Ministry is in charge of admission, but there are no recognition procedures.
 - NZ- universities are in charge of recognition included in admission requirements developed institutionally.
 - ROK- up to universities to make decisions regarding recognition and admission.



Challenges and Ways Forward

- Lack of efficient common approach and procedures for recognition of foreign school leaving qualifications.
- No guidelines for recognition of international standardized tests giving access to HE.
- Diversity of HEIs, their scope of responsibilities in admission process adds to complexity of the recognitation.
- Understanding the differences between the education systems is essential for recognitions which in many cases is based on equivalence of compared qualifications (comparing years).
- In some countries ther is not requirement for entrance exams (non-university institutions).
- Lack of national qualifications frameworks in majority of the coutnries in the region and allignment of national curriculums with QFs and level descriptors.
- No guidelines for recognition of the international tests, usually carries by HEIs.
- Further developments of the APNNIC nettwork for sharing experience and exhange of information is extremely important.



Thank you!

